

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/066,532	DANNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Prenell P. Jones	2616	

**All Participants:**

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(2) Applicant Representative (Mr. Patrick Rasche).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 October 2006

**Time:** 10:48 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1,6,8,12,16 and 24

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner requested approval by Mr. Rasche to perform an Examiner's Amendment on the claims that uses the term "operable." Mr. Rasche approved the amending of the term "operable" to "operate" via an Examiners amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)